



Shoreline Planning Committee

May 27, 2015 Minutes
Pacific County Annex
South Bend, Washington

ATTENDANCE

Rebecca Chaffee	Jim Rose	Jim Sayce	Phil Martin
Dale Beasley	Warren Cowell	Ann Lafores	Paul Philpot

Kelly Rupp – Facilitator

Assistant Scribe – Emily Rambo

STAFF

Tim Crose Faith Taylor-Eldred

MOTION(S)

Paul Philpot made a motion to approve the agenda, Phil Martin seconded the motion.

Rebecca Chaffee made a motion to approve the minutes from April 8th, 2015 & April 22nd, 2015, Warren Cowell seconded the motion. Ann Lafores requested an edit to the April 8th minutes to correct her name.

Jim Sayce made a motion to include the presentation/model information presented by Molly Bogeberg-Coastal Vulnerability Analysis in the SIAC and Jim Rose seconded.

DISCUSSION

For more in-depth information, please listen to the audio recording on the County website.

- Timeline/Deliverables Discussion
 - SMP deliverables and deadlines
 - Concerns about the SIAC and the need to finish scrubbing the document before it is sent to Ecology
 - Critical Areas Ordinance deliverables
 - Subcommittee members volunteered:
 - Ann Lafores
 - Phil Martin
 - Key McMurry
 - Ken Weigardt
 - Warren Cowell

- Dale Beasley
 - Brian Sheldon
- Coastal Vulnerability Analysis – presentation by Molly Bogeberg
 - Molly will send wording to the following people for review:
 - Jim Sayce
 - Brian Sheldon
 - Warren Cowell
- Coastal Ocean Bythemity – presentation by Dale Beasley
 - Discussion regarding the Special Addendum that the BOCC directed the SPC to develop and incorporate of significant issues that are not directly addressed in the SMP, but important to the County
- Willapa Aquaculture
 - Discussion about whether or not to include aquaculture cultivating methods Off – bottom culturing
 - The Oyster Growers Association is working on guidelines to police themselves and their hope that they can update it regularly
 - Consensus that there will be no prescriptive methods included in the SMP
 - Commercial Development
 - Concern for existing over-water structures and how they would be impacted in the SMP
 - Discussion about the new regulations and how it impacts new structures, but not old structures, which will be considered non-conforming.
 - Restoration
- SMP Prep
 - Environmental Designations
 - Concerns about using the word “aquatic”
 - Discussion about adding “shoreline residential” for concentrated residential areas (i.e. Tokeland, Paul’s Lake)
 - Dredging & Fill
 - Handouts were given out
- SMP Review Process
 - Request of SPC members to read the entire document
 - Kelly suggested that subcommittees meet to discuss areas of interest to them