



Shoreline Planning Committee

June 10, 2015 Minutes
Pacific County Administrative Facility
Long Beach, Washington

ATTENDANCE

Tom Kollasch	Ken Weigardt	Jim Sayce	Phil Martin
Dale Beasley	Warren Cowell	Ann Lafores	Brian Sheldon
Key McMurry			

Kelly Rupp – Facilitator
Assistant Scribe – Tom Kollasch

STAFF

Tim Crose Faith Taylor-Eldred

MOTION(S)

Ken Weigardt made a motion to approve the agenda, Phil Martin seconded the motion. No edits were made.

Phil Martin made a motion to approve the minutes from May 27th, 2015, Warren Cowell seconded the motion. No edits were made.

Key McMurry made a motion to move both SPC and CAO meetings to the June 16th, Ken Weigardt seconded the motion. Jim Sayce made opposed the motion – motion did not carry.

Key McMurry made a motion to change “water-enjoyment” from a "C" to an "X" in the matrix for the Willapa Bay Estuary, Dale Beasley seconded the motion.

Key McMurry made an Amendment to the motion from an "X" to an "C" in the matrix for the Willapa Bay Conservancy. Phil Martin seconded that.

DISCUSSION

For more in-depth information, please listen to the audio recording on the County website.

- Announcements
 - SPC Committee Meeting – June 17 @ 6 p.m.
 - CAO Technical Committee – June 17 @ 3 p.m.
- Subcommittee Reports

- Administrative – no comment
- Agriculture – no comment
- Aquaculture
 - Discussion regarding Japonica and Ghost Shrimp
- Coastal Ocean
 - Presented a handout
 - Added terminology to the coastal erosion section
 - TWC did a good job of drafting the SMP
 - Stronger language needed for bonding
 - Federal Consistency discussion and how important it is
 - Discussion as to why the “estuary” wasn’t mentioned in the “ocean unit regarding disposal
- Development – no comment
- Environmental Designations
 - Mapping was done by TWC
 - Mapping needs to be as accurate as possible
 - Jim presented the maps and explained them to those present at the meeting
 - Discussion about “high intensity” for Bay Center, since it is not Port property
- Ports – no comment
- Recreation – no comment
- Restoration
 - Need to amplify the Japonica and Ghost Shrimp issues
 - Long discussion on what can to in the Restoration Plan versus the SMP
 - Individuals showed interest in putting “species diversity” in the SMP rather than the Restoration Plan
 - It was suggested that these types of things could go in the Addendum
 - Or it could be put in a policy statement in Table 5.5
- SMP Review
 - Using “estuary” versus “aquatic” in the environmental designations
 - Table 5.5 - Review and comment on Brian Sheldon’s comments that he presented
 - What is new, expansion, and existing
 - Expansion - areas that were not permitted in 2007 per the Army Corp
 - Concern about the "aquaculture" section not being what was sent up to TWC
 - Kelly said the content was actually what was sent to TWC
 - Comments about aesthetic viewing of aquaculture
 - The growers didn't feel that they should have to consider the aesthetic value of their business
 - Subcommittee meeting was set up for the morning of June 11th to discuss aquaculture section

- 8:30-10:30 a.m. in LB (Ken, Nick, Warren, and Brian were going to meet)
- Water Enjoyment
 - Commercial Development
 - Brian Sheldon was very concerned that "water-enjoyment" needed to be prohibited in Willapa Estuary
 - Comments that the over-water structures would be in the high intensity areas

DRAFT